



Red Bank Elementary School

246 Community Drive
Lexington, SC 29073

Grades	PK-5 Elementary School	
Enrollment	638 Students	
Principal	Marie Watson	803-821-4600
Superintendent	Dr. Karen C. Woodward	803-821-1000
Board Chair	Cynthia S. Smith	803-957-5095

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2013 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2013	Average	Average
2012	Average	Good
2011	Average	Average
2010	Average	Average
2009	Average	Average

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent – School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good – School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average – School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average – School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk – School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

<http://ed.sc.gov>
<http://www.eoc.sc.gov>

Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2012-13 whose 2011-12 test scores were located

99.5%



ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk
41	38	9	0	1



* Ratings are calculated with data available by 12/14/2013.

Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS)


Exemplary
Met
Not Met



Exemplary
Met
Not Met



Exemplary
Met
Not Met



Our school



Elementary schools with Students Like Ours

* Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms

Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A--Not Applicable N/AV--Not Available N/C--Not Collected N/R--Not Reported I/S--Insufficient Sample

School Profile

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=638)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	0.0%	No Change	0.8%	0.9%
Attendance rate	95.6%	Down from 96.0%	96.4%	96.3%
Served by gifted and talented program	7.7%	N/A	10.1%	7.2%
With disabilities	13.7%	N/A	11.8%	12.4%
Older than usual for grade	0.9%	N/A	1.4%	1.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=49)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	73.5%	Up from 70.8%	64.8%	62.5%
Continuing contract teachers	85.7%	Up from 79.2%	86.7%	83.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	90.7%	Up from 86.1%	89.7%	88.3%
Teacher attendance rate	94.0%	Down from 95.1%	95.0%	95.0%
Average teacher salary*	\$50,713	Up 1.8%	\$49,374	\$48,193
Professional development days/teacher	5.3 days	Down from 8.2 days	12.0 days	11.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	10.0	Up from 9.0	6.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	21.1 to 1	Up from 19.1 to 1	20.8 to 1	20.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.3%	Down from 90.8%	90.2%	90.0%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$7,737	Down 9.2%	\$7,060	\$7,364
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	67.0%	Down from 67.1%	69.0%	68.0%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	66.0%	Up from 65.7%	67.0%	66.0%

* Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

** Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Red Bank Elementary School continues to make strides in the implementation of competency-based, personalized learning. Stakeholders realize the importance of requiring that students become proficient at one level before moving to a new level of learning. Students are aware of the learning targets, and this transparency of expectations motivates students to master one level before moving to the next.

A major step supporting the competency-based approach has been the use of a standards-based report card. Each student has a personalized report card that communicates exactly where he is working and the level of progress he is making. Students understand the language of learning as emerging, developing, proficient or advanced.

Students use academic language when describing their learning because they have analyzed the standards for learning and know exactly where they are working. This culture of learning is centered in collaboration, communication, critical thinking and creativity. A learning management system individualizes each student's learning plan. Through this system, students are able to remain aware of their progress, work on assignments, take assessments and communicate.

One of the challenges facing our students and staff is the availability of technology. In a personalized system, students need access to their learning dashboard whenever they are ready to work. Since the work is competency-based rather than time-based, it is important that students have constant access to devices so that they can work at their individual pace.

Another challenge that affects learning is the attendance of our students. We have worked with students and their parents through individual conferences, attendance contracts and support agencies in order to improve the chronic tardy and absence patterns of some of our students. This continues to impact our students' ability to learn.

Our students have shown good progress on standardized testing on Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) with 64% of students meeting their learning targets in English language arts and 59% meeting their targets in mathematics. The national average for both subjects is 50% of students meeting those targets.

In addition to core academics, our school has earned recognition as a Green Steps school, received a Farm to School grant and established a school garden maintained by a student garden club. Our First Lego League and 4-H Club students have received awards for their achievements. The Special Olympics Fan Club and Unified Team has received both state and national recognition.

We are proud of the progress our students are making toward self-directed, individualized learning. We expect to continue to improve as we further implement personalized learning.

Principal Marie Watson and SIC Chair Thomas Dandridge

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents

	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	39	100	47
Percent satisfied with learning environment	87.2%	83%	89.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	89.7%	87%	83%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	82.1%	87.9%	76.6%

* Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2013, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: <http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/> or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	68.6
Overall Grade Conversion	D

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	A	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	B	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	C	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

Accountability Indicator for Title I Schools

Red Bank Elementary School school has been designated as a:

- ☐ Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
- ☐ Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
- ☐ Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
- ☐ Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
- ☐ Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
- ☒ Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance

	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.4%	2.3%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	4.9%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.8%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	95.6%	94.0%*	Yes

* Or greater than last year

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

Performance By Group

Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested
Grades 3-5						
All Students	663.5	634.3	613.8	633.9	99.7	99.7
Male	658.7	633.2	615.1	639.9	99.4	99.4
Female	668.7	635.5	612.4	627.7	100.0	100.0
White	669.7	641.4	620.2	638.9	100.0	100.0
African American	654.4	620.4	596.9	629.6	98.2	98.2
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	612.6	589.8	580.9	612.2	100.0	100.0
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subsidized meals	651.1	620.7	601.1	619.2	99.3	99.3
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	635.0	635.0	635.0	635.0	95.0	95.0

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

PASS Performance By Grade Level

	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
English/Language Arts							
2012	3	78	100	26.7	17.3	56	73.3
	4	103	100	28	25	47	72
	5	114	100	20.4	36.1	43.5	79.6
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	3	118	100	13.3	27.4	59.3	86.7
	4	82	100	21.3	40	38.8	78.8
	5	109	99.1	21.2	41.3	37.5	78.8
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics							
2012	3	78	100	33.3	34.7	32	66.7
	4	103	100	23	43	34	77
	5	114	100	16.7	42.6	40.7	83.3
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	3	118	100	38.1	35.4	26.5	61.9
	4	82	100	35	42.5	22.5	65
	5	109	99.1	27.9	44.2	27.9	72.1
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science							
2012	3	37	100	50	25	25	50
	4	103	100	33	57	10	67
	5	56	98.2	17.3	50	32.7	82.7
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	3	59	100	41.8	41.8	16.4	58.2
	4	82	100	40	45	15	60
	5	52	98.1	27.5	60.8	11.8	72.5
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A--Not Applicable

N/AV--Not Available

N/C--Not Collected

N/R--Not Reported

I/S--Insufficient Sample

PASS Performance By Grade Level

	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
Social Studies							
2012	3	42	100	32.5	35	32.5	67.5
	4	102	100	23.2	47.5	29.3	76.8
	5	58	98.3	23.2	55.4	21.4	76.8
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	3	60	100	16.9	61	22	83.1
	4	82	100	18.8	55	26.3	81.3
	5	56	98.2	30.2	43.4	26.4	69.8
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Writing							
2012	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	113	93.8	26.5	41.2	32.4	73.5
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	3	118	94.9	30.6	37	32.4	69.4
	4	81	97.5	39.7	30.8	29.5	60.3
	5	108	96.3	33.7	40.6	25.7	66.3
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample